App. F. IX-4

Previous References

Later References

1935-36 (GB)

File No. 151/35-

Serial Nos. 546

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 546

Part B for Oct. 1936

SUBJECT.

Procedure of the Director of Public Instruction in the Dunbar Procedure List

Serial No.	
5	D.O. letter No 273/36-37 dt 5.10.36 from Mr N.S. Subbarao, D-R.D States that by the G.O of 6.7.36 making certain alterations in the rules of Precedence his position is affected and requests that he may be permitted to maintain his precedence over the Chief Secy & Financial Secy who are placed above him
'A'	According to the precedence list of 1931, the D.R.D states that he had precedence over the Chief Secy & the Secy and that according to the list of 1936 he is placed below them and requests that he may be permitted to maintain his place.
'B'	Class I is intended to include only the Major heads of Depts drawing a pay of Rs 1400/-
	The pay of the D.R.D as recommended is 1000-100-1400 while the Officers placed above him draw a pay of Rs 1400/-
	Submitted for orders.
	Dom 7/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Precedence of the Director of Public Instruction

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

2) ~~The~~ Mr. N.S. Subba Rao, Director of Public Instruction, has raised for orders two points re: Precedence at Durbars:—

(i) The place of the post of the D.P.I. as such

(ii) His own rank in the Precedence List on personal grounds.

3) So far as officers in class I of the Precedence List, the general principle followed appears to be that the ~~rank~~ relative rank ~~of~~ is regulated by the post held, and the date on which an officer is promoted as Head of Department has had no bearing on this, except in so far as determining the rank inter se of officers grouped together under one item.

4) The posts of Revenue Commr, Excise Commr. and I.G. of Police have all along been above those of Senior Surgeon, ~~and~~ Railway Agent and Director of P.I. The post of Chief Secy. ~~was created~~ came into existence at a later date and the status of the posts of Chief Secy and Fin. Secy ~~have~~ varied for some time.

vide Lett. of 1917 P.C.P.

Serial No.

The post of Chief Secy. is now a permanent one on Rs 1400, and incumbents of the post of Fin. Secy. have also been drawing Rs 1400 for several years past. As these posts are held by officers recruited from the same general cadre to which the present Rev. Comr & Ex. Comr. belonged, it was recently decided that the following posts might be grouped under one category in class I of the Precedence List and that they would take precedence inter se according to their personal seniority in the service. The personal rank of the present incumbents of these posts are noted below:

1) Rev. Comr
2) I. G. of Police
3) Excise Comr
4) Chief Secy
5) Fin. Secy.

1. Mr. S. Shanmuna (Fin. Secy)
2 " K. V. Anantaraman (Rev Comr)
3 " A. D. Ramanathan (Chief Secy. on other duty)
4 " B. T. Kesavaneiyar (Offg. Chief Secy)
5 " S. Abdul Wajid (Offg. Ex. Comr.)
" (I. G. P. now held by a European).

5) As regards the Status of the post of D. P. I., the pay of the appointment according to the revised Scale is Rs 1000–100–1400 as against Rs 1,400 of the posts of Rev. Comr, I. G. of Police, Ex. Comr etc

H.E

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction

C.O. File No. 151 of 193 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

As regards the personal ~~to~~ standing of Mr. V.S. Subba Rao it is submitted that he has been drawing Rs 1400 from 1928 (when the other officers referred to above were not yet Heads of Depts). Mr. V.S. Subba Rao seems ~~to have no objection to the Rev. Comr.~~ ~~drawing above him, but wants~~ to be more particular about the two Secretaries continuing to be below him (The present Jr. Secy. is however senior to the Rev. Comr, & other officers in the group).

man about the other officers being below him.

(The case of the Senior Surgeon (who is drawing Rs 1400 from 1929) may also be similar. ~~personal claim~~.

Submitted for orders

CJ 8/10/36

I do not think the contention of Mr Subba Rao is tenable. The status of the Secretaries referred to has been raised for the reason that their juniors hold offices which they should have held in the usual course. The priority of being appointed the Head of a Dept does not govern the rank in the precedence list unless the list ~~depends~~ itself concedes such privilege. In this case the D.P.I

CB 5-76

General & Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III–2

Serial No.

has always occupied a certain rank in the precedence list and that rank is below that of the Agent Mysore Railways. In the precedence list of 1931 the Chief Sec^{y} and Joint Sec^{y} were below D.P.I for the reason that the appointments were tenure appointments. Further, the position of the future D.P.I's will be not be the same as it is now. In these circumstances, there seems to be no need to alter the position of the D.P.I in the list unless it be on personal grounds.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 8 OCT. 1936 No. 815

Dewan) Kindly put me up to me Joint Member in the first instance

MG 8.10.36

Sd/ 8-10-36

1st member)

MG 9/10

On the General grounds, I agree with the Ch Sec^{y} Certain appts like the R.C, E.C & G.P have always had precedence over other appts such as D P I, C.E & so on & in some administrations the Ch Sec^{y} holds a very high rank also.

On personal grounds also, it is not possible to alter the arrt now. Mr N.S. Rao seems to be particular to rank over the ~~Ch Sec~~ Ch Sec^{y} & the Jt Sec^{y}. But the latter is now the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

CB 576

Procedure of the Director of Public Instruction

CB File No. 15/1 of 193 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

the most senior of the persons in service even senior to the Rev. Con.r & The Ch Sec.y it is obvious that he cannot be brought down below the present D.P.I. In such cases, the seniority in actu does not depend upon the date when one becomes the Hd of a Dept. The request cannot be entertained.

11/10 MS 12/10

Dewan) I agree

MS 12-10-36 MS 13/10

Draft D.O. sent

Done 13/10 CJG

appd. MS 14/10

6

D.O.No 927 Ol 15-10-36 to Rao N.J. Sivabhashyam, B.A.B.L.

States that the question assigned to him by the D.P.I has been fixed as due consideration & cannot be altered

DEWAN'S OFFICE 12 OCT. 1936 No. 918

C.B. 576

Tel :-Address.
EDUCATION.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Postal Address :-
POST BOX No. 8.

N. S. SUBBA RAO, M. A.
BAR-AT-LAW
Director of Public Instruction.

Confidential

D. O. No.273/36-37 P.S.

BANGALORE
Dated, October 5, *193*6

C.B. 157-35-5

C.B.
1115
6/10

My dear Kesava Iyengar,

With reference to Government Order No.56-110 C.B.151-35-1 dated 6th July 1936 making certain modifications in the Order of Precedence, I am writing to request that the position of the Director of Public Instruction may kindly be reconsidered. According to the earlier precedence lists e.g. that of 22nd April 1931 the Director had precedence over the Chief Secretary and the Financial Secretary, whereas, he is now placed below them. Now this change has two aspects, viz., the general one, that of giving precedence to the Chief Secrwtary and the Financial Secretary over the Director of Public Instruction as such, and a personal one, viz., of giving precedence to the present holders of these two Secretaryships. I am not competent to say whether the Director should, as a matter of right, have precedence over these two Secretaries, but I venture to point out that as a matter of personal consideration, the holders of both these offices were drawing much less than Rs.1400/- when I became head of a Department, and had not the status they now have. I happen to be, I believe, the senior Head of a Department at present, and if I cannot be given precedence over officers like the Chief Commandant, Revenue Commissioner etc., who have always been shown over the Director of Public Instruction, I trust at least it will help me to maintain my precedence over the officers mentioned.

I request that the matter may kindly be reconsidered by Government.

Yours sincerely,
N. S. Subba Rao

B.T. Kesava Iyengar, Esq., B.A.

ಅಇ ಕಬ 151-85=6
ದಿ 27/11/36

Dear Mr. Subba Rao,

With reference to your D.O. letter No. 273/36-37 dated the 15th October 1936, I am desired to state that the rank assigned to the Director of Public Instruction in the latest Precedence List has been fixed after a consideration of all the circumstances ~~in this case~~ bearing on the question and it cannot be altered. I am to add that Government regret that they cannot see their way to make an exception ~~in your case~~ on personal grounds either.

Yours sincerely,

NMS
14/10

N. S. Subba Rao, Esq.,M.A., Bar-at-Law,
Director of Public Instruction in Mysore.

927/C.S. 151-36

15th October 1936.

My dear Subba Rao,

With reference to your D.O. letter No.273/36-37 dated the 15th October 1936, I am desired to state that the rank assigned to the Director of Public Instruction in the latest Precedence List has been fixed after a consideration of all the circumstances bearing on the question and it cannot be altered. I am to add that Government regret that they cannot see their way to make an exception on personal grounds either.

Yours sincerely,

N. S. Subba Rao, Esq., M.A., Bar-at-Law,
Director of Public Instruction in Mysore.